See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342476747

# Predicting range shifts of African apes under global change modelling

Preprint · June 2020



Comparative Phylogeography of Central African Duikers View project

#### Predicting range shifts of African apes under global change 1

#### scenarios 2

- 3 Short title: African ape distribution under global change
- 4
- Joana S. Carvalho<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Bruce Graham<sup>2</sup>, Gaëlle Bocksberger<sup>3</sup>, Fiona Maisels <sup>2,4</sup>, Elizabeth A. 5
- Williamson<sup>2</sup>, Serge Wich<sup>1</sup>, Tenekwetche Sop<sup>3,5</sup>, Bala Amarasekaran<sup>6</sup>, Richard A. Bergl<sup>7</sup>, 6
- Christophe Boesch<sup>3</sup>, Hedwige Boesch<sup>3</sup>, Terry M. Brncic<sup>6</sup>, Bartelijntje Buys<sup>8</sup>, Rebecca 7
- Chancellor<sup>9</sup>, Emmanuel Danguah<sup>10</sup>, Osiris A. Doumbé<sup>11</sup>, Anh Galat-Luong<sup>12</sup>, Jessica 8
- Ganas<sup>13</sup>, Sylvain Gatti<sup>14</sup>, Andrea Ghiurghi<sup>15</sup>, Annemarie Goedmakers<sup>8</sup>, Nicolas Granier<sup>16</sup>, 9
- Dismas Hakizimana<sup>17</sup>, Barbara Haurez<sup>18</sup>, Josephine Head<sup>3</sup>, Ilka Herbinger<sup>19</sup>, Annika 10
- Hillers<sup>20</sup>, Sorrel Jones<sup>21</sup>, Eno-Nku Manasseh<sup>22</sup>, Maureen S. McCarthy<sup>3</sup>, Bethan J. 11
- Morgan<sup>2,23,24</sup>, Stuart Nixon<sup>25</sup>, Louis Nkembi<sup>26</sup>, Emmanuelle Normand<sup>27</sup>, Sarah H. Olson<sup>28</sup>, 12
- Leon Payne<sup>29</sup>, Charles-Albert Petre<sup>30</sup>, Alex K. Piel<sup>1,31</sup>, Lilian Pintea<sup>32</sup>, Andrew J. Plumptre<sup>33</sup>, 13
- Aaron Rundus<sup>34</sup>, Adeline Serckx<sup>30,35</sup>, Fiona A. Stewart<sup>1</sup>, Jacqueline Sunderland-Groves<sup>36</sup>, 14
- Nikki Tagg<sup>37,38</sup>, Angelique Todd<sup>39</sup>, Ashley Vosper<sup>40</sup>, José Francisco Carminatti Wenceslau<sup>8</sup>, 15
- Erin G. Wessling<sup>41</sup>, Jacob Willie<sup>37</sup>, & Hjalmar Kühl<sup>3,5\*</sup> 16

- 19
- <sup>1</sup>School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, 20 UK
- 21
- 22 <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK
- 23 <sup>3</sup> Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Department of Primatology, Deutscher Platz 6, Leipzig 04103, Germany 24
- <sup>4</sup>Global Conservation Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, USA 25
- <sup>5</sup>German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 26
- Leipzig 04103, Germany 27
- 28 <sup>6</sup> Tacugama Chimpanzee Sanctuary, PO Box 469, Freetown, Sierra Leone
- <sup>7</sup>North Carolina Zoo, Asheboro, USA 29
- <sup>8</sup> Chimbo Foundation, Huningspaed 6, 8567 LL Oudemirdum, Netherlands 30
- 31 <sup>9</sup>West Chester University, Depts of Anthropology & Sociology and Psychology, West Chester, PA, 32 19382, USA
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Wildlife and Range Management, Faculty of Renewable Natural Resources, Kwame 33
- Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana 34
- <sup>11</sup> Sekakoh Organisation, Bafoussam, Cameroon 35
- <sup>12</sup> IRD (French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development), France 36
- <sup>13</sup> Sierra Rutile Limited, Sierra Leone 37

<sup>17</sup> 

<sup>\*</sup>corresponding author: joana.coleoptera@gmail.com; kuehl@eva.mpg.de 18

- <sup>14</sup>West African Primate Conservation Action (WAPCA), PO Box MB239, Accra GA-161-7942,
- 39 Ghana
- 40 <sup>15</sup> Independent Researcher, Via Passarelli 67, 00128 Rome, Italy
- 41 <sup>16</sup>Biotope, France
- 42 <sup>17</sup> Department of Biology, University of Burundi, Burundi
- <sup>18</sup>Laboratory of Tropical Agroecology Plant Sciences, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, University of
   Liège, Belgium
- 45 <sup>19</sup> WWF Germany, Reinhardtstr. 18, 10117 Berlin, Germany
- 46 <sup>20</sup> Wild Chimpanzee Foundation, Congo Town, Monrovia, Liberia
- <sup>21</sup> RSPB Centre for Conservation Science, The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, Sandy,
   United Kingdom
- 49 <sup>22</sup> World Wide Fund for Nature, Panda House Bastos, BP 6776 Yaounde, Cameroon
- <sup>23</sup> San Diego Zoo Global, 15600 San Pasqual Valley Road, Escondido, CA 92027-7000, USA
- 51 <sup>24</sup> Ebo Forest Research Project, BP 3055, messa, Yaounde, Cameroon
- 52 <sup>25</sup> Chester Zoo, Cedar House, Caughall Road, Upton, Chester, UK
- <sup>26</sup>Environment and Rural Development Foundation, PO Box 189 Buea, Cameroon
- 54 <sup>27</sup> Wild Chimpanzee Foundation, Cologny, Switzerland
- <sup>28</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Health Program, New York, USA
- 56 <sup>29</sup> Rio Tinto, Guinea
- <sup>30</sup> Primatological Research Group, Behavioural Biology Unit, University of Liège, Quai Van Beneden,
- 58 22, B-4020 Liège, Belgium
- <sup>31</sup> Department of Anthropology, University of College London, London, UK
- <sup>32</sup> The Jane Goodall Institute, 1595 Spring Hill Road, Suite 550, Vienna, VA, 22182, USA
- <sup>33</sup> KBA Secretariat, c/o BirdLife International, David Attenborough Building, Pembroke Street,
   Cambridge, UK
- <sup>34</sup> West Chester University, Department of Psychology, West Chester, PA, 19382 USA
- 64 <sup>35</sup> The Biodiversity Consultancy, Cambridge, UK
- <sup>36</sup> Department of Forest Resources Management, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- <sup>37</sup>Centre for Research and Conservation, Antwerp Zoo Society, Koningin Astridplein 20-26, 2018
   Antwerpen, Belgium
- <sup>38</sup> The Born Free Foundation, Broadlands Business Campus, Langhurstwood Road, Horsham, West
   Sussex
- <sup>39</sup> WWF-CAR, Bangui, Central African Republic
- 71 <sup>40</sup> Frankfurt Zoological Society, Frankfurt, Germany
- <sup>41</sup> Department of Human Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, USA

# 73 ABSTRACT

74 Aim: Modelling African great ape distribution has until now focused on current or past

- conditions, whilst future scenarios remain scarcely explored. Using an ensemble forecasting
- approach, we predicted changes in taxon-specific distribution under future scenarios of
- climate, land-use and human population changes.
- 78 Location: Sub-Saharan Africa
- 79 **Methods:** We compiled occurrence data on African ape populations from the IUCN A.P.E.S.
- 80 database and extracted relevant human-, climate- and habitat-related predictors representing
- current and future (2050) conditions to predict taxon-specific distribution under a best- and a
- 82 worst-case scenario, using ensemble forecasting. Given the large effect on model predictions,
- 83 we further tested algorithm sensitivity by considering *default* and *non-default* modelling
- options. The latter included interactions between predictors and polynomial terms in
- 85 correlative algorithms.
- **Results:** The future distributions of gorilla and bonobo populations are likely to be directly
- 87 determined by climate-related variables. In contrast, future chimpanzee distribution is
- influenced mostly by anthropogenic variables. Both our modelling approaches produced
- similar model accuracy, although a slight difference in the magnitude of range change was
- 90 found for *Gorilla beringei beringei*, *G. gorilla diehli*, and *Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*. On
- average, a decline of 50% of the geographic range (*non-default*; or 55% *default*) is expected
- 92 under the best scenario if no dispersal occurs (57% *non-default* or 58% *default* in worst
- scenario). However, new areas of suitable habitat are predicted to become available for most
- taxa if dispersal occurs (81% or 103% best, 93% or 91% worst, *non-default* and *default*,
- 95 respectively), except for *G. b. beringei*.
- 96 **Main Conclusions:** Despite the uncertainty in predicting the precise proportion of suitable
- habitat by 2050, both modelling approaches predict large range losses for all African apes.
- 98 Thus, conservation planners urgently need to integrate land-use planning and simultaneously
- support conservation and climate change mitigation measures at all decision-making levels
- 100 both in range countries and abroad.
- 101
- 102 KEYWORDS:
- 103 Bonobo, chimpanzee, climate change, configuration option settings, gorilla, great ape, habitat
- 104 loss, human population scenarios, IUCN SSC A.P.E.S. database, species distribution
- 105 modelling
- 106

### 107 INTRODUCTION

- 108 Currently, a major conservation challenge is to assess the potential future effects of climate
- and land-use changes on species distributions, typically through the use of species
- 110 distribution models (SDMs), and usually under a range of future environmental scenarios.
- 111 SDMs are widely used to predict and map species' ecological niches through time and space
- (Elith & Leathwick, 2009; Guillera-Arroita et al., 2015; Hao, Elith, Guillera-Arroita, &
- Lahoz-Monfort, 2019). Importantly, SDMs can inform spatial prioritization decisions for
- 114 conservation and management actions, such as identification of strategic locations for new
- 115 conservation or survey sites, and predicting future distributions (Araújo & New, 2007; Elith
- 116 & Leathwick, 2009; Guillera-Arroita et al., 2015).
- 117 Changes in climate and land use are among the main global threats to biodiversity, therefore,
- 118 how the synergistic interactions between these drivers impact species is an important area of
- research (Oliver & Morecroft, 2014). Newbold et al. (2018) assessed the single and combined
- 120 effects of future climate and land-use change on local vertebrate biodiversity. They found that
- 121 climate change is likely to be the principal driver of species distribution change in coming
- decades, equalling or surpassing the potential effects of land-use change by 2070. Because
- human population growth is already an extinction threat to many species (McKee, Chambers,
- 424 & Guseman, 2013), it is important to determine how human distribution will impact future
- species presence (Jones & O'Neill, 2016).
- 126 Many primates are facing imminent extinction, due to extensive habitat loss and
- 127 fragmentation, land-use change, global commodity growth and trade, and hunting (Estrada et
- al., 2018). Climate change is a delocalised, multi-faceted driver to add to the list. It exposes
- 129 many species, especially forest-dwelling primates, to climatically unsuitable conditions
- 130 (Carvalho et al., 2019). Primates have relatively limited dispersal abilities for their body size,
- 131 slow reproduction, low population densities, dietary requirements and poor thermoregulation,
- and a predicted reduction of up to 86% of the Neotropical primate ranges with >3°C warming
- is likely to constrain their dispersal, resulting in elevated risks of extinction (Carvalho et al.,
- 134 2019).
- 135 All African great apes (hereafter African apes) are classified either as Endangered (mountain gorillas G. b. beringei, bonobos Pan paniscus, Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzees P. t. ellioti, 136 eastern chimpanzees P. t. schweinfurthii and central chimpanzees P. t. troglodytes) or 137 Critically Endangered (Grauer's gorillas G. b. graueri, Cross River gorillas G. g. diehli, 138 western lowland gorillas G. g. gorilla and western chimpanzees P. t. verus) on the IUCN Red 139 List of Threatened Species (www.iucnredlist.org) and are regarded as flagship species for 140 conservation. African apes have faced dramatic changes in suitable environmental conditions 141 over the past 20 years (Junker et al., 2012) as well as large population losses (Kuehl et al., 142 2017; Plumptre et al., 2016; Strindberg et al., 2018) caused by human activities and/or 143 infectious epidemics (Walsh et al., 2003). Many African apes live in areas that are suitable 144 for agricultural expansion and 58.7% of oil-palm concessions currently overlap with African 145 ape ranges (Wich et al., 2014). Moreover, massive development corridors (Heinicke et al., 146 2019) and mining activities (Howard, 2019) in their geographic ranges are projected to 147 expand considerably, and to disrupt ape habitat connectivity and accelerate habitat loss. 148
- 149 Most African apes occur outside protected areas (Freeman, Roehrdanz, & Peterson, 2018;
- 150 Heinicke et al., 2019; Strindberg et al., 2018). Importantly, protected areas will not be exempt

- 151 from climate change (Araújo, Alagador, Cabeza, Nogués-Bravo, & Thuiller, 2011), and shifts
- in species ranges as predicted by future scenarios would certainly determine the degree of
- species representation within protected areas. Improving the effectiveness of conservation
- efforts in protected areas as well as habitat connectivity would allow apes to disperse to new
- 155 climatically suitable areas, and favour ape population survival in the long term.

A few studies have examined the future effects of climate change on African apes (Lehmann, 156 Korstjens, & Dunbar, 2010; Thorne et al., 2013). Lehmann et al. (2010) investigated how 157 climate change is likely to influence survival and reported that chimpanzees may lose 10% of 158 their range, and gorillas 75% by year 2100. Given the small range of mountain gorillas and 159 their highly restricted occurrence in mountain refuges as a result of human encroachment and 160 the geographic barrier of the Rift Valley, one would expect them to be particularly 161 susceptible to global warming and extinction (Thorne et al., 2013). However, in general, how 162 future synergetic interactions among climate, land-use and human population changes will 163 affect African apes and their habitat has been largely unexplored. 164

- 165 Here we combine data on projected climate, land-use and human population changes to
- 166 model African ape distribution for the year 2050. We use the most comprehensive database
- 167 on ape populations available, the IUCN SSC Ape Populations, Environments and Surveys
- database (A.P.E.S.) to predict the distribution of great apes on the African continent under
- best- and worst-case scenarios. We subsequently consider an ensemble forecasting approach
- to reduce the uncertainty among different models and future scenarios (Araújo & New, 2007;
- 171 Thuiller, 2004) and estimate the proportional change in range size in 2050 relative to current
- 172 estimated range sizes for African apes.
- 173

# 174 **METHODS**

# 175 African ape data

- 176 We compiled information on African ape occurrence held in the IUCN SSC A.P.E.S.
- 177 database, a repository that includes a remarkable amount of information on population status,
- threats and conservation for several hundred sites (Heinicke et al., 2019; Kuehl, Williamson,
- Sanz, Morgan, & Boesch, 2007). We extracted all occurrence data, which are georeferencedpoint data of direct sightings and great ape sign (mostly night nests) collected over 20 years
- 180 point data of direct signifings and great ape sign (mostly night lests) conected over 20 years 181 (1998-2017, see Appendix S1 in Supporting Information, Table S1.1). We obtained a total of
- (1998-2017, see Appendix ST in Supporting information, Table S1.1). We obtained a total
   62,469 presence records across all African ape taxa (occurrence data for each species in
- 183 Table S1.1).
- Although these data may be spatially biased as sampling effort is unevenly spread over the 184 ape range, presence-only data are commonly the most available and hence most frequently 185 used in SDMs (Phillips et al., 2009). The (sub)species (hereafter taxon) occurrence data we 186 187 used were collected during systematic site-based wildlife and human impact surveys, which 188 were generally based on some prior knowledge of occurrence, often in or close to protected areas, FSC-certified and other logging concessions, and from habituated populations, factors 189 that can distort an SDM (Phillips et al., 2009). Different approaches have been applied to 190 account for biased datasets: random background, bias background, geographic 191 thinning/filtering, and environmental filtering (Aiello-Lammens, Boria, Radosavljevic, 192
- 193 Vilela, & Anderson, 2015; Fourcade, Engler, Rödder, & Secondi, 2014; Phillips et al., 2009;

- 194 Varela, Anderson, García-Valdés, & Fernández-González, 2014). Thus, we considered all
- approaches, and for the bias background distances to roads, protected areas and villages were
- included. We favoured the approach with the best performance by visually inspecting the
- 197 greatest overlap between taxon occurrence and each sampling bias (Fig. S1.1). Given that the 198 geographic thinning approach performed best for all taxa, we integrated it into the SDMs for
- sampling bias correction (Fig. S1.1, Table S1.1).
- 200 Model algorithms require presence and absence data, so we generated a set of 10,000 pseudo-
- absence occurrences (Guillera-Arroita et al., 2015; Phillips et al., 2009) in the range of each
- taxon, except for *G. b. beringei*. Only 1,000 background occurrences were created for
- 203 mountain gorillas due to their small range.
- 204 We delineated taxon-specific study regions to avoid unrealistic geographical predictions
- 205 (Anderson & Gonzalez, 2011). For this, we created buffers bounding IUCN range polygons
- 206 (IUCN, 2018) and included all occurrence data for each taxon (Table S1.1) (Jantz, Pintea,
- Nackoney, & Hansen, 2016; Junker et al., 2012; Thorne et al., 2013). Whenever the buffer
- 208 overpassed a known geographic barrier to ape dispersal (e.g. major rivers), we disregarded209 that area.
- 210

### 211 **Predictor variables**

- 212 We selected predictor variables based on their importance for African ape ecology, whilst
- guaranteeing data availability for current and future conditions (2050) under best- and worst-
- case scenarios and minimizing correlation between variables. We compiled altitude and
- climatic variables (*N*=19) for the present and future conditions from Worldclim (periods of
- 216 1950-2000 and 2050, respectively; Table S1.1, (Hijmans, Cameron, Parra, Jones, & Jarvis,
- 217 2005)). For future predictions, we chose a best-case scenario (i.e. high mitigation scenario,
- CCSM4 RCP 4.5) and a worst-case scenario (i.e. low mitigation scenario, HadGEM-ES RCP
  8.5) (for more details see (Carvalho et al., 2019)). Land-use/cover data for current conditions
- 8.5) (for more details see (Carvalho et al., 2019)). Land-use/cover data for current conditions
  and 2050 projections were compiled from the Land-use Harmonization Project (period of
- 1500-2100, Table S1.1, (Chini, Hurtt, & Frolking, 2014; Hurtt et al., 2011)). This dataset
- represents a set of land-use change and emission scenarios for studies of human impact on the
- past and future global carbon-climate system. Again, we considered a best-case scenario
- (MiniCam RCP 4.5) and a worst-case scenario (MESSAGE RCP 8.5) (Carvalho et al., 2019).
- 225 We focused on the land-use states that best represent biomes where great apes can be found:
- primary (i.e. natural vegetation (either forest or non-forest) undisturbed by humans),
- secondary (i.e. natural vegetation previously disturbed by agriculture or wood harvesting),
- and cropland.
- 229 We based human population scenarios on a new set of future societal development scenarios,
- 230 namely Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP) (Table S1.1) (Jones & O'Neill, 2016). These
- future scenarios are based on both qualitative narratives of future development and
- 232 quantitative projections of key elements such as human population growth at the national
- level, educational composition, urbanization and economic growth. These data are available
- from 2010 to 2100 for urban and rural population. We used two future scenarios, SSP1 and
- 235 SSP3, given that they represent best- and worst-case scenarios, respectively.

236 We also considered distances to roads, villages and rivers as they are known to influence the

- distribution of African apes (Table S1.1) (Carvalho, Marques, & Vicente, 2013). We
- extracted data on protected areas in each taxon distribution from the most comprehensive
- 239 global database on terrestrial and marine protected areas, the World Database on Protected
- 240 Areas (Table S1.1).

Firstly, we extracted all variables for the extent of the range of each taxon, resampled onto a 241 5km x 5km equal-area grid and projected them into the WGS 1984 geographic coordinate 242 system. Secondly, we used Spearman rank correlations to select a subset of least correlated 243 244 variables to minimize multicollinearity (Brun et al., 2019). For this, we used a graphical representation of the correlation values between variables to identify five least correlated 245 variables for each species to avoid overfitting in model predictions (Fig. S1.2) (Thorne et al., 246 2013). We performed data analyses using the software R version 3.6.1 (R Development Core 247 Team, 2019) and ArcMap version 10.4.1 (ESRI, 2011). 248

249

### 250 SDM performance and ensemble forecasting

We predicted future African ape distributions using an ensemble forecasting approach (i.e. combining predictions from individual models into an ensemble as implemented in the

- <sup>253</sup> 'biomod2' package in R (version 3.3-7)) (Thuiller, Georges, & Engler, 2016). We selected
- two correlative algorithms, generalised linear model (GLM) and generalised additive model
   (GAM), and three machine-learning techniques, Maxent, random forest (RF) and artificial

(GAM), and three machine-learning techniques, Maxent, random forest (RF) and artificial
 neural networks (ANN) to build predictive SDMs for each species. These algorithms have

been shown to perform well in previous SDMs (Elith et al., 2006; Thuiller, Lafourcade,

Engler, & Araújo, 2009). As the choice of configuration settings of individual modelling

- algorithms has potentially considerable impacts on predicted distributions (Hallgren, Santana,
- Low-Choy, Zhao, & Mackey, 2019), we contrasted results under two modelling approaches
- by 1) using the default tuning options of algorithms (i.e. *default*), and 2) changing the
- 262 configuration settings of algorithms (i.e. *non-default*). The latter included changes in the
   263 correlative algorithms, particularly defining interactions between predictors as well as
- correlative algorithms, particularly defining interactions between predictors as well as
- polynomial terms, to better represent assumptions about the ecological niche of each taxon(see R code in Appendix S2).

For the present time period only, we assessed the predictive performance of each model 266 through cross validation using a bootstrap approach, i.e. partitioning of the presence data, 267 using 80% of presences, randomly selected, for model calibration and 20% for evaluation, 268 269 and repeating this procedure 5 times (Thuiller et al., 2009). We evaluated the performance of each model by the 'true skill statistic' metric (TSS) (Allouche, Tsoar, & Kadmon, 2006). TSS 270 271 is an accuracy measure that accounts both for omission errors (i.e. the percentage of true presences predicted as absences are minimised) and commission errors (i.e. the percentage of 272 273 true absences predicted as presences are minimised), is unaffected by prevalence, and ranges 274 from -1 to 1, with a prediction accuracy considered similar to 'random' when  $\leq 0$ , 'poor' in the range 0.2-0.5, 'useful' in the range 0.6-0.8, and 'good' to 'excellent' when >0.8 (Allouche 275 et al., 2006). 276

Ensemble forecasting has been widely employed to reduce the uncertainties associated with
using a single algorithm, and is a useful method to account for uncertainties of extrapolation
of species-environment relationships outside the environments sampled by the species data

280 (Araújo & New, 2007; Hao et al., 2019; Thuiller, Guéguen, Renaud, Karger, & Zimmermann,

281 2019; Thuiller et al., 2009). We chose to apply the weighted mean ensemble method, which

scales predictions of different models by weights based on some measure of predictive

performance (Araújo & New, 2007; Thuiller et al., 2009). We included only individual

models that reached very 'good' predictive accuracies (TSS>0.8) in ensemble models to map the current and future habitat suitability predicted for each taxon (Thuiller et al., 2019). For

each modelling approach, we repeated the modelling five times (cross-validation) and given

- the five modelling algorithms and the three repetitions for variable importance (see below),
- we obtained an ensemble of 75 predicted distributions for each species for each time period

(present and 2050) and future scenarios (best- and worst-case scenarios).

290

# 291 Relative importance of predictors

For each taxon and modelling approach, we calculated the importance of each predictor by 292 correlating the fitted values of the full models with those from the model in which the values 293 294 of the predictor were randomly permuted. We repeated this procedure three times (default 295 settings of 'biomod2' were used) and used the average Pearson's correlation to measure variable importance. A high correlation between the values from the full and permuted 296 297 models indicates that the variable has a low importance, contributing poorly to the model. We 298 then ranked each variable value based on the correlation coefficients and reversed its relative 299 importance and scaled from 0 to 1, the more influential variables for the model representing those with a higher relative importance (Thuiller et al., 2009). 300

301

# 302 Species range change

We estimated the proportional change in range size, in 2050 compared to the present, for

each taxon by subtracting the future prediction ensemble output from the SDMs for the best-and worst-case scenarios from that under current conditions. We considered continuous

- 306 predictive outputs from ensemble models as they provide richer information over outputs 307 classified into binary maps, particularly when the purpose of our study is to inform spatial 308 prioritization decisions for conservation and management actions (Guillera-Arroita et al.,
- 309 <sup>1</sup>2015).

We subsequently identified areas of range loss (i.e. sites where the species is present at the 310 moment but is likely to be absent in the future), gain (i.e., sites where the species is absent at 311 the moment but is likely to be present in the future), and stability (i.e., sites where the species 312 is potentially present at the moment and is likely to be present in the future). For this, we 313 314 considered range change under two contrasting dispersal scenarios: 1) full dispersal, which assumes that the species can disperse to new suitable areas in the future; and 2) no dispersal, 315 316 which assumes that the species will be unable to disperse and only the overlap between 317 present and future distributions will be the expected suitable habitat for the species (Thomas

- et al., 2004).
- 319
- 320 **RESULTS**

- 321 In general, both modelling approaches (*default* and *non-default*) resulted in similar predictive
- accuracy of individual and ensemble models and in the relative importance of the variable
- predictors (Fig. 1, S1.3-4). Predictive accuracy of the individual models based on TSS was
- 'useful' to 'excellent', depending on the algorithm (Fig. S1.3). On average, RF models
   performed best relative to ANN and GLM models which performed worst at predicting
- performed best relative to ANN and GLM models which performed worst at predicting
   species distributions (Fig. S1.3a). Importantly, with TSS scores >0.9 ensemble models had
- excellent predictive accuracy and clearly outperformed individual models (Fig. S1.3b).



Figure 1. Results for the modelling approach where algorithm settings were changed for each African ape 329 330 species (i.e. non-default). (a) Variable importance (mean and standard deviation (SD) of the correlation values) for the ensemble models, and (b) Predicted percentage change in African ape ranges by 2050 under the best- and 331 the worst-case scenario, assuming no dispersal (loss) and dispersal (gain) scenarios. Variable predictor 332 abbreviations: bio12 – annual precipitation, bio13 – precipitation of wettest month, bio15 – Seasonal variation 333 of precipitation, bio16 – precipitation of wettest quarter, bio17 – precipitation of driest quarter, bio18 – 334 335 precipitation of warmest quarter, bio19 – precipitation of coldest quarter, bio2 – mean diurnal range, bio3 – isothermality, bio4 – temperature seasonality, bio7 – temperature annual range, bio8 – mean temperature of 336

wettest quarter, crop – cropland, distpa – distance to protected areas, distrivers – distances to rivers, distroads–
 distances to roads, humpop – human population density, pland– primary land, sland – secondary land.

339

340 Our ensemble models indicated that the current distribution of *G. b. beringei*, *P. t. ellioti* and

341 *P. t. troglodytes* is strongly determined by anthropogenic variables, in contrast to the greater

influence of climate-related variables on the distribution of *G. b. graueri*, *G. g. diehli*, *G. g.* 

343 gorilla, P. paniscus, P. t. schweinfurthii and P. t. verus (Fig. 1, S1.4-6). Our models indicate

that the future distributions of most gorillas and bonobos will be more heavily influenced by climate-related variables, contrasting with the greater influence of anthropogenic variables in

346 shaping the chimpanzee distribution (Fig. S1.5-6).

347 On average, the same trend in species range change was estimated for both *default* and *non*-

348 *default* modelling approaches (Fig. 2, 3, S1.7-8). However, the *non-default* approach

349 predicted a slightly lower range loss (50% or 57% under the best and worst scenarios,

respectively) and lower range gain (81% or 93% under the best and worst scenarios,

respectively) than the *default* approach (loss: 55% or 58%, gain: 103% or 91%, under the best

and worst scenarios, respectively) (Fig. 3, S1.8). Moreover, differences were found in the

353 magnitude of change for some taxa, particularly for *G. b. beringei*, *G. g. diehli* and *P. t.* 

*schweinfurthii*. To simplify, only results from the *non-default* approach are presented below

355 (details for the *default* approach are provided in Appendix S1).

356

# 357 *Gorilla beringei beringei* (mountain gorilla)

Annual precipitation contributed most in the correlative models, whereas human population
density was the strongest determinant of mountain gorilla distribution in machine-learning
and ensemble models (Fig. S1.4). This taxon is confined to artificial 'island' areas in a sea of

agriculture where annual precipitation (1,200-1,500 mm), diurnal temperature range (11-12 mm)

°C), human population (3,000-10,000 people/km<sup>2</sup>), and elevation (1,500-2,200 m) are high,
and precipitation in the coldest quarter is low (180-440 mm) (Fig. S1.5-6). Precipitation

and precipitation in the coldest quarter is low (180-440 mm) (Fig. S1.5-6). Precipitation
 variables will increase and diurnal temperature range will decrease by 2050 under the best

service service and dramatic temperature range with decrease by 2000 under the best scenario (Fig. S1.5-6). However, the opposite is predicted under the worst scenario. Human

population growth is predicted to occur under both future scenarios.

Range stability is predicted to occur under the best scenario, but one-third of current suitable habitat is predicted to be lost under the worst scenario (Fig. 3, S1.7). Moreover, both future

see arios agree that dispersal is unlikely given that no new suitable habitat will become

available for mountain gorillas.

# 371 *Gorilla beringei graueri* (Grauer's gorilla)

372 Seasonal variation in precipitation (precipitation seasonality) was the most important variable

in both individual and ensemble models in predicting the distribution of Grauer's gorillas

(Fig. S1.4). This taxon is predicted to persist where seasonal variation of precipitation is low

- 375 (25-40 mm), diurnal temperature range (12-13 °C) and altitude (500-1500 m) are high, close
- to roads (<6 km) and far from protected areas (<30 km) (Fig. S1.5-6). Both climatic variables



### 

Figure 2. Ensemble forecasting of the current and future (best- and worst-case scenarios) habitat suitability for *Gorilla beringei* spp, *Gorilla gorilla* spp and
 *Pan* spp based on weighted mean and the true skill statistics (TSS) for the *non-default* approach. Note that only results for the no dispersal scenario are
 presented here.

- are expected to decrease under the best scenario or increase under the worst scenario (Fig. 381 382 S1.5-6).
- If no dispersal occurs, this taxon is predicted to lose half of its current habitat under both 383
- scenarios (Fig. 3, S1.7). However, if dispersal occurs, a 97% range gain is predicted under the 384 best scenario, but only 55% under the worst scenario.
- 385
- 386



387 Figure 3. Predicted percentage change in African ape ranges by 2050 under the best- and the worst-388 case scenario, assuming either no dispersal (loss) and dispersal (gain) for the *non-default* approach. 389

390

#### Gorilla gorilla diehli (Cross River gorilla) 391

Precipitation in the wettest month was the most important predictor of Cross River gorilla 392 393 distribution in both individual and ensemble models (Fig. S1.4). High precipitation during the wettest month (340-400 mm) and seasonal variation in temperature (9-10 °C), very low 394 human population (<2,500 people/km<sup>2</sup>), a large distance to main rivers (>400 km) and 395 396 presence of large tracts of primary land (>95%) are suitable conditions for the distribution of this species (Fig. S1.5-6). Precipitation in the wettest month is predicted to increase and 397 398 seasonal variation in temperature to decrease under the best scenario, whereas the opposite pattern is predicted under the worst scenario (Fig. S1.5-6). According to both future 399

- scenarios, human population is predicted to increase, and primary land is predicted to becompletely lost.
- 402 If no dispersal occurs, more than two-thirds of the current range is predicted to disappear
- 403 under both future scenarios (Fig. 3, S1.7). In contrast, if Cross River gorillas could disperse,
- 404 range gains of 40% or 75% are predicted under the best and worst scenarios, respectively.

### 405 *Gorilla gorilla gorilla* (western lowland gorilla)

- 406 All climatic variables were important predictors of western lowland gorilla distribution,
- 407 particularly seasonal variation of precipitation and diurnal temperature range (Fig. S1.4).
- 408 Areas characterised by low seasonal variation in precipitation (50-70 °C), high annual
- 409 precipitation (1,600-1,800 mm) and diurnal temperature range (9-11 °C), absence of cropland
- and close to roads (0-15 km) harbour suitable conditions for the persistence of this subspecies
- 411 (Fig. S1.5-6). According to both future scenarios, precipitation variables will not change, but
- 412 decrease in diurnal temperature is predicted to occur. Cropland is predicted to increase under
- the worst scenario.
- 414 Assuming no dispersal, loss of more than half the suitable area is predicted under both future
- scenarios (Fig. 3, S1.7). With dispersal, however, a large increase in suitable habitat under
- 416 both future scenarios is predicted (87% best, 69% worst).
- 417 *Pan paniscus* (bonobo)
- 418 Precipitation in the warmest quarter is an important predictor of bonobo distribution in both
- 419 individual and ensemble models (Fig. S1.4). Favourable environmental conditions shaping
- 420 this species distribution are high precipitation (450-500 mm) and temperature (24-25 °C) of
- 421 the warmest quarter and annual precipitation (1,750-1,950 mm), mid altitudes (350-450 m)
- 422 and close proximity to roads (<6 km) (Fig. S1.5). All climatic variables are predicted to
- 423 increase in the future (Fig. S1.5-6).
- 424 Under both future scenarios, more than half of the area of suitable habitat is predicted to be
- 425 lost if no dispersal occurs (Fig. 3, S1.7). Suitable habitat is predicted to expand to new areas
- and, if bonobos disperse, range gains of 98% or 84% are predicted under the best and worstscenarios, respectively.
- 428 *Pan troglodytes ellioti* (Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzee)
- 429 Cropland and primary land were the best predictors in GLM and Maxent models, in contrast
- to diurnal temperature range, which was the variable with the highest importance in GAM
- and RF models as well as in the ensemble models (Fig. S1.4). Areas with a low proportion of
- 432 cropland (<7%), very high proportion of primary land (>90%), high diurnal temperature
- range (9-11 °C), pronounced seasonal variation of both precipitation (67-75 mm) and
- 434 temperature (8-11 °C) offer suitable conditions for Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzees (Fig.
- 435 S1.5). An increase in cropland and a large reduction in primary land are predicted under the
- 436 worst scenario. In contrast, no cropland expansion and a smaller decrease in primary land are
- 437 expected under the best scenario. Under both future scenarios, seasonal variation of
- 438 precipitation and temperature are predicted to increase and diurnal temperature range to
- 439 decrease (Fig. S1.5-6).

If no dispersal occurs, almost half of the area of suitable habitat is predicted to be lost under
both future scenarios (Fig. 3, S1.7). However, if dispersal occurs, substantial range gains are

442 predicted to occur, particularly under the worst scenario (137% vs. 83% best scenario).

### 443 Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii (eastern chimpanzee)

- 444 Precipitation of driest quarter was an important predictor in most individual models, except
- for GLM and ANN models, where annual precipitation performed best (Fig. S1.4). However,
- all predictors ranked equally in importance in ensemble models. Eastern chimpanzees
- encounter suitable conditions where precipitation of the driest quarter is low (30-190 mm),
- 448 annual precipitation (1,250-1,750 mm), isothermality (7-8 °C) and diurnal temperature range
- 449 (13-17 °C) are high, and cropland is rare (<5%) (Fig. S1.5-6). Under the best scenario,
- 450 climatic variables are unlikely to change, but a decrease in isothermality is predicted under451 the worst scenario. The latter also predicts expansion of cropland by 2050.
- 452 According to both future scenarios, suitable habitat is predicted to be confined to the core of
- the species' current distribution (Fig. 3, S1.7). In terms of range change, half of suitable
- 454 habitat is predicted to be lost under both future scenarios if no dispersal occurs. In contrast, if
- dispersal occurs, range expansion of 113% or 199% into new areas is expected under the best
- 456 and worst scenarios, respectively.

# 457 *Pan troglodytes troglodytes* (central chimpanzee)

- 458 Secondary land and distances to main rivers were the predictors of greatest importance in
- 459 individual and ensemble models, except for GLM models, where only secondary land was a
- slightly better predictor (Fig. S1.4). Suitable environmental conditions for central
- 461 chimpanzees are characterised by a high percentage of secondary land (12.5-75%), large
- distance to main rivers (>450 km), high annual precipitation (1,600-1,800 mm) and
- 463 precipitation in the warmest quarter (400-500 mm), and mid-altitudes (300-600 m) (Fig.
- 464 S1.5-6). According to both future scenarios, climatic variables are predicted to remain
- unchanged, but expansion of secondary land is expected by 2050.
- 466 A reduction of half the current area of suitable habitat is expected under both future scenarios
- 467 if no dispersal occurs (Fig. 3, S1.7). Predictions of range gains for central chimpanzees
- 468 suggest that 104% or 106% of suitable habitat will become available in new areas under the
- 469 best and future scenarios, respectively.
- 470 *Pan troglodytes verus* (western chimpanzee)
- 471 Precipitation in the wettest quarter was the most important variable in individual and
- 472 ensemble models (Fig. S1.4). Current suitable conditions for western chimpanzees are very
- 473 high precipitation of the wettest quarter (600-900 mm), high seasonal variation of
- 474 precipitation (60-110 mm) and precipitation of coldest quarter (100-600 mm), low human
- population (<5,000 people/km<sup>2</sup>) and low proximity to roads (<2 km) (Fig. S1.5-6). A greater
- 476 increase in both seasonal variation in precipitation and precipitation of the coldest quarter is
- 477 predicted under the worst scenario.
- 478 Western chimpanzees have the widest geographic distribution among African apes, however,
- loss of more than half their present range is predicted under both future scenarios if no
- dispersal occurs (Fig. 3, S1.7). On the other hand, high range gains are anticipated under both
- 481 future scenarios if there is dispersal (best: 139%, worst: 109%).

### 482 **DISCUSSION**

483 This is the first study to combine climate, land-use and human population changes in an

ensemble forecasting approach to predict African ape distribution by 2050. Customizing the

485 model specifications relative to the default settings in the correlative algorithms revealed

486 uncertainty in predicting the amount of suitable habitat available by 2050. However, both

- 487 approaches agreed that all African ape taxa are likely to experience marked range losses
- 488 (best: 55% *default* or 50% *non-default*; worst: 58% *default* or 57% *non-default*), and that
- 489 these reductions may not be as severe if dispersal to new areas of suitable habitat occurs 1020 h = 1020 h = 0.10 h = 0.10 h = 0.00 h = 0

490 (best: 103% default or 81% non-default; worst: 91% default or 93% non-default).

- 491 A previous study quantified changes in suitable environmental conditions for African apes
- between 1990 and 2000, and found that the greatest proportional reductions occurred for
- 493 gorillas (*G. g. diehli*, range loss of 59%; *G. b. graueri*, 52%; *G. g. gorilla*, 32%) and bonobos
- 494 (*P. paniscus*, 29%) compared with chimpanzees (*P. t. troglodytes*, 17%; *P. t. verus*, 11%)
- 495 (Junker et al., 2012). Lehmann and colleagues (2010) employed a mechanistic approach to
- 496 investigate how climate change under a worst scenario would influence African ape survival
- 497 and reported that chimpanzees might lose 10% of current range and gorillas 75%. Our study
- 498 concurs with these results for most gorilla taxa, but more than half of suitable habitat area for
- 499 chimpanzees is predicted to be lost under both future scenarios if no dispersal occurs.
- 500 However, our full dispersal scenario predicts gains of suitable conditions in new areas under
- 501 both future scenarios for all taxa, except mountain gorillas.
- 502 The variables that best predicted current distribution were taxon-specific: anthropogenic
- variables were key predictors in most models for mountain gorillas, Nigeria-Cameroon and
- 504 central chimpanzees, and climate-related variables for Grauer's, Cross River and western
- 505 lowland gorillas, bonobos, eastern and western chimpanzees. However, future scenarios
- suggest that the distribution of most gorillas and bonobos is predicted to be determined by
- 507 changes in climatic variables, and that of chimpanzees by land-use changes. Moreover, the
- 508 forecast variation in both climatic and human population variables can explain the predicted
- habitat stability for mountain gorillas. Interestingly, habitat gains predicted for Cross River
- 510 gorillas, Nigeria-Cameroon and eastern chimpanzees under the worst scenario are likely to be
- 511 explained by stability of climatic variables and changes in anthropogenic variables by 2050.

Despite the importance of climatic variables in determining African ape time budgets, and 512 consequently their effects on distributions, gorillas and chimpanzees are more sensitive to 513 variations in temperature than in precipitation and they persist better in habitats with lower 514 monthly temperature variation (Lehmann et al., 2010). Moreover, gorillas are predicted to be 515 affected more than chimpanzees given the more restricted behavioural flexibility of gorillas 516 to cope with temperature variation (Lehmann et al., 2010). Our study suggests that diurnal 517 and seasonal variability influence the distribution of most gorillas, yet only play a similar role 518 for Nigeria-Cameroon and eastern chimpanzees. Additionally, annual precipitation, and 519 particularly its distribution over the wet and dry seasons, affects the distribution of most 520 gorillas and chimpanzees, as well as bonobos. These results are indirect evidence of the 521 marked influence of temperature and precipitation on species ecological niche with regard to 522 dehydration and thermoregulation (Wessling et al., 2018). The influence of climate change on 523 great ape ecology has been poorly explored compared with anthropogenic disturbance; 524

nonetheless, we can expect temperature extremes to exacerbate habitat loss for African apes

and consequently pose serious threats to species persistence, as they are anticipated to impactother non-primate species (Araújo et al., 2011; Newbold, 2018). By integrating future climate

- and land-use changes as well as human population scenarios, our predictions provide strong
- evidence for synergistic interactions among these global drivers constraining species
- 530 distributions.

531 Strindberg and colleagues (2018) found that western lowland gorillas and central

- chimpanzees, two sympatric taxa with 97% range overlap, mostly occur outside protected
- areas, and argued for *'reinforcement of anti-poaching efforts both inside and outside*
- 534 protected areas (particularly where habitat quality is high and human impact is low), diligent
- 535 disease control measures (including training, advocacy, and research into Ebola virus
- 536 *disease*), and the preservation of high-quality habitat through integrated land-use planning
- and implementation of best practices by the extractive and agricultural industries'. Our study
  suggests that both taxa will find environmentally suitable areas outside their current range, in
- 539 line with our predictions for most African apes. Thus, outcomes from our SDMs should be
- 540 integrated with a habitat connectivity analysis to optimize conservation land-use planning and
- identify priority areas for these species (Freeman et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2018). This is
- 542 extremely important given that African protected areas are distant from each other (Santini,
- 543 Saura, & Rondinini, 2016) and particularly because great apes have a low dispersal capacity
- 544 due to their slow reproduction, low population densities, dietary requirements and poor
- thermoregulation. It will be important to ensure objective assessments of human pressures
- and habitat conditions in potential protected areas to avert species extinctions in the long term(Jones et al., 2018).
- Taxon-specific frameworks of environmental and socio-economic trends (Estrada et al., 548 2018; Strindberg et al., 2018; Tranquilli et al., 2014) should be considered at all major 549 550 decision-making levels in range countries and abroad to (1) improve the management and recovery of degraded habitat in protected areas and off-reserve management to increase 551 resilience, (2) increase the size of protected areas, establish additional protected areas and 552 ensure habitat connectivity, (3) incorporate climate change into land-use planning and 553 propose mitigation measures on the conservation agenda for African apes and sympatric 554 wildlife, and (4) implement more effective law enforcement in ape ranges, supplemented by 555 environmental education, community development, tourism programmes and research (IUCN 556 & ICCN, 2012; IUCN, 2014; Tranquilli et al., 2014, 2012). Public-private partnerships have 557 proven highly effective across the forest and savanna zones, where an NGO or other 558 organisation takes on management responsibility for a given site over one or more decades 559
- 560 (Scholte et al., 2018).
- 561

# 562 Limitations of distribution models

563 Modelling species responses to global environmental changes carries many uncertainties

564 (Araújo & New, 2007; Thuiller et al., 2019). Using two algorithm approaches, two future

scenarios, two dispersal scenarios, an ensemble forecasting and including only a few but

highly important predictors of the distribution of African apes, should have reduced

- 567 uncertainties in our distribution models (Brun et al., 2019; Thorne et al., 2013). A recent
- study proposed that SDMs include historical records to produce better predictions of range
- shifts rather than relying on contemporary records alone (Faurby & Araújo, 2018). This is

- 570 important for large vertebrates given the direct effects of anthropogenic disturbances on their
- distribution, and many ranges being far from equilibrium under current environmental
- 572 conditions (Faurby & Araújo, 2018). The species occurrences we used are from field sites
- and may represent realized niches as currently African apes may be absent from areas of
- 574 potential distribution, but unfortunately, reliable information about historical ranges is not
- available. Thus, it is possible that our predictions of current African ape distribution have
- 576 underestimated the diversity of niches suitable for these species, which consequently may
- 577 have limited our model predictions under future conditions.
- 578 Mining concessions and granted mining claims are increasing dramatically across Africa,
- 579 particularly threatening large ape populations in Guinea, Gabon and Liberia (Howard, 2019).
- 580 It will be important to model the influence of this threat on future African ape distributions
- 581 once appropriate spatial data sets become available.
- 582 Our results corroborate the other recent studies showing that African ape populations and
- their habitats are declining dramatically (Freeman et al., 2018; Hickey et al., 2013; Kuehl et
- al., 2017; Lehmann et al., 2010; Plumptre et al., 2016; Strindberg et al., 2018; Thorne et al.,
- 585 2013), thus our findings should also be used to guide the prioritization of conservation efforts
- 586 for these flagship species to avoid irreversible losses.
- 587

# 588 Conclusions

Given that the existing network of protected areas is inadequate for ensuring the long-term 589 conservation of African apes (Strindberg et al., 2018), we support the argument that effective 590 conservation strategies require taxon-specific conservation planning that focuses on existing 591 and proposed protected areas, the creation and/or management of which can be informed by 592 593 our habitat suitability models. Additionally, efforts to maintain connectivity between the habitats predicted to be suitable in the future will be crucial for the survival of African apes. 594 For a species to shift to new climatically suitable areas, the availability of such habitat will 595 need to be ensured through effective protection by antipoaching teams whether in a protected 596 area or not, the enforcement of industrial extractive industry conservation regulations (for 597 598 example, as currently practiced in logging concessions under FSC Standards), and by national 599 land-use planning that ensures that the areas of current and future high conservation value are not transformed into agricultural plantations or fragmented by roads. As an example, this 600 country-wide approach has been undertaken in Gabon, where planning for the development 601 602 of agriculture, road and rail links, and mineral extraction has been informed by wildlife and 603 vegetation data in order to locate these activities in areas that are already degraded, and to avoid closed-canopy old-growth and remote forests (Government of Gabon, 2012; Strindberg 604 et al., 2018). This will be an effective way of promoting habitat connectivity to maintain 605

- 606 African ape populations as well as sympatric wildlife.
- 607

# 608 Acknowledgments

609 We are grateful to the governments and national authorities for research permissions, and

- 610 field staff for logistical support and guidance during data collection. We would like to thank
- all organizations for sharing African ape survey data, particularly Antwerp Zoo Society,
- 612 Chimbo Foundation, Conservation Society of Sierra Leone, Environment and Rural
- 613 Development Foundation, Fauna and Flora International, Frankfurt Zoological Society, Jane
- 614 Goodall Institute, Rio Tinto, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, San Diego Zoo

- 615 Global, Sekakoh Organisation, Sierra Rutile Limited, Tacugama Chimpanzee Sanctuary, The
- 616 Biodiversity Consultancy, The Born Free Foundation, West African Primate Conservation
- 617 Action, Wild Chimpanzee Foundation, Wildlife Conservation Society, WWF-CAR, and
- 618 World Wide Fund for Nature. A special thanks to numerous individuals for data sharing:
- Alastair McNeilage, Abdulay Barrie, Anna Binczik, Bejnamin Barca, Catherine Tayleur,
  Cleve Hicks, Damien Caillaud, David H. Humminbird, Emma Stokes, Takeshi Furuichi, Gay
- 620 Cleve Hicks, Damien Caillaud, David H. Humminbird, Emma Stokes, Takeshi Furuichi, Gay
   621 Reinartz, Genevieve Campbell, Hilde Vanleeuwe, Jennifer Moustgaard, Joel Gamys, John
- Remartz, Genevieve Campbell, Hilde Vanleeuwe, Jennier Moustgaard, Joer Gamys, John
   Hart, Jremy Lindsell, Julian Blanc, Lilian Pintea, Mary Molokwu, Nakashima Yoshihiro,
- Noelle Kumpel, Nzooh Zacharie, Patrick Guislain, Paul K. N'Goran, Roger Fotso, Sebatien
- Regnaut, and Stephanie Latour. JSC was funded by a University of Stirling Research
- 625 Programme Fellowship.
- 626

### 627 Data Accessibility Statement

- 628 The African ape data sets are available via the IUCN SSC A.P.E.S. database
- 629 (<u>http://apesportal.eva.mpg.de</u>).
- 630

# 631 Biosketch

Joana Carvalho is a postdoctoral researcher in the Faculty of Science, Liverpool John Moores

633 University, UK, who has been working in the field of primate ecology and conservation. Her

- 634 current research focuses on evaluating effects of future climate change and land-use/cover
- 635 change on primates, through species distribution modelling, scenario analyses, and landscape
- 636 connectivity analyses. Additionally, she has been working on density/distribution models for
- 637 Tanzania chimpanzees.
- 638

### 639 **References**

- Aiello-Lammens, M. E., Boria, R. A., Radosavljevic, A., Vilela, B., & Anderson, R. P.
  (2015). spThin: an R package for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use
  in ecological niche models. *Ecography*, 38(5), 541–545.
- 643 https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01132
- Allouche, O., Tsoar, A., & Kadmon, R. (2006). Assessing the accuracy of species distribution
  models: prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *43*(6), 1223–1232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01214.x
- Anderson, R. P., & Gonzalez, I. (2011). Species-specific tuning increases robustness to
  sampling bias in models of species distributions: An implementation with Maxent. *Ecological Modelling*, 222(15), 2796–2811.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j..ecolmodel.2011.04.011
- Araújo, M. B., Alagador, D., Cabeza, M., Nogués-Bravo, D., & Thuiller, W. (2011). Climate
  change threatens European conservation areas. *Ecology Letters*, 14(5), 484–492.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01610.x
- Araújo, M. B., & New, M. (2007). Ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 22(1), 42–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.09.010
- Brun, P., Thuiller, W., Chauvier, Y., Pellissier, L., Wüest, R. O., Wang, Z., & Zimmermann,
  N. E. (2019). Model complexity affects species distribution projections under climate
  change. *Journal of Biogeography*, jbi.13734. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13734
- Carvalho, J. S., Graham, B., Rebelo, H., Bocksberger, G., Meyer, C. F. J., Wich, S., & Kühl,
  H. S. (2019). A global risk assessment of primates under climate and land use/cover
  scenarios. *Global Change Biology*, gcb.14671. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14671
- Carvalho, J. S., Marques, T. A., & Vicente, L. (2013). Population status of *Pan troglodytes verus* in Lagoas de Cufada Natural Park, Guinea-Bissau. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8), e71527.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071527
- Chini, L. P., Hurtt, G. C., & Frolking, S. (2014). *Harmonized global land use for years 1500- 2100, V1. Data set.* Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge National
  Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1248.
- Elith, J., H. Graham, C., P. Anderson, R., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., ... E.
  Zimmermann, N. (2006). Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions
  from occurrence data. *Ecography*, 29(2), 129–151. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.09067590.04596.x
- Elith, J., & Leathwick, J. R. (2009). Species distribution models: Ecological explanation and
   prediction across space and time. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*,
   40(1), 677–697. https://doi.org/doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159
- Estrada, A., Garber, P. A., Mittermeier, R. A., Wich, S., Gouveia, S., Dobrovolski, R., ...
  Setiawan, A. (2018). Primates in peril: The significance of Brazil, Madagascar,
- Indonesia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo for global primate conservation.
   *PeerJ*, 6, e4869. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4869
- 680 Faurby, S., & Araújo, M. B. (2018). Anthropogenic range contractions bias species climate

- 681 change forecasts. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(3), 252–256.
- 682 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0089-x
- Fourcade, Y., Engler, J. O., Rödder, D., & Secondi, J. (2014). Mapping species distributions
  with MAXENT using a geographically biased sample of presence data: A performance
  assessment of methods for correcting sampling bias. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(5), e97122.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097122
- Freeman, B., Roehrdanz, P. R., & Peterson, A. T. (2018). Modeling endangered mammal
  species distributions and forest connectivity across the humid Upper Guinea lowland
  rainforest of West Africa. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 1–15.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-01684-6
- 691 Government of Gabon. (2012). Plan strategique Gabon emergent: Vision 2025 et
   692 orientations stratégiques 2011-2016.
- Guillera-Arroita, G., Lahoz-Monfort, J. J., Elith, J., Gordon, A., Kujala, H., Lentini, P. E., ...
  Wintle, B. A. (2015). Is my species distribution model fit for purpose? Matching data and models to applications. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 24(3), 276–292.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12268
- Hallgren, W., Santana, F., Low-Choy, S., Zhao, Y., & Mackey, B. (2019). Species
  distribution models can be highly sensitive to algorithm configuration. *Ecological Modelling*, 408, 108719. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108719
- Hao, T., Elith, J., Guillera-Arroita, G., & Lahoz-Monfort, J. J. (2019). A review of evidence
  about use and performance of species distribution modelling ensembles like BIOMOD. *Diversity and Distributions*, 25(5), 839–852. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12892
- Heinicke, S., Mundry, R., Boesch, C., Amarasekaran, B., Barrie, A., Brncic, T., ... Kühl, H.
  S. (2019). Advancing conservation planning for western chimpanzees using IUCN SSC
  A.P.E.S. the case of a taxon-specific database. *Environmental Research Letters*, *14*(6),
  064001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/AB1379
- Hickey, J., Nackoney, J., Nibbelink, N., Blake, S., Bonyenge, A., Coxe, S., ... Kühl, H.
  (2013). Human proximity and habitat fragmentation are key drivers of the rangewide
  bonobo distribution. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 22(13–14), 3085–3104.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-013-0572-7
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high
  resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25(15), 1965–1978. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1276
- Howard, M. (2019). *Safeguarding Africa's great apes*. University of York, UK.
- Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L. P., Frolking, S., Betts, R. A., Feddema, J., Fischer, G., ... Wang, Y. P.
  (2011). Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100: 600 years of
  global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands. *Climatic Change*, 109(1–2), 117–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0153-2
- 719 IUCN (2014). Regional Action Plan for the Conservation of Western Lowland Gorillas and
   720 Central Chimpanzees 2015–2025.
- 721 IUCN (2018). IUCN Red List of threatened species. *Version 2018.1. Available:*
- *http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 16 March 2018.*

- 723 IUCN & ICCN. (2012). Bonobo (Pan paniscus): Conservation Strategy 2012–2022.
- Jantz, S., Pintea, L., Nackoney, J., & Hansen, M. (2016). Landsat ETM+ and SRTM data
   provide near real-time monitoring of chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) habitats in Africa.
   *Remote Sensing*, 8(5), 427. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050427
- Jones, B., & O'Neill, B. C. (2016). Spatially explicit global population scenarios consistent
  with the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(8),
  084003. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084003
- Jones, K. R., Venter, O., Fuller, R. A., Allan, J. R., Maxwell, S. L., Negret, P. J., & Watson,
  J. E. M. (2018). One-third of global protected land is under intense human pressure. *Science*, *360*(6390), 788–791. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9565
- Junker, J., Blake, S., Boesch, C., Campbell, G., Toit, L., Duvall, C., ... Kuehl, H. S. (2012).
   Recent decline in suitable environmental conditions for African great apes. *Diversity and Distributions*, 18(11), 1077–1091. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12005
- Kuehl, H. S., Sop, T., Williamson, E. A., Mundry, R., Brugière, D., Campbell, G., ... Boesch,
  C. (2017). The Critically Endangered western chimpanzee declines by 80%. *American Journal of Primatology*, 79(9), e22681. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22681
- Kuehl, H. S., Williamson, L., Sanz, C. M., Morgan, D. B., & Boesch, C. (2007). A.P.E.S.,
  IUCN SSC A.P.E.S. database Online: http://apesportal.eva.mpg.de/.
- Lehmann, J., Korstjens, A. H., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2010). Apes in a changing world the
  effects of global warming on the behaviour and distribution of African apes. *Journal of Biogeography*, *37*(12), 2217–2231. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02373.x
- McKee, J., Chambers, E., & Guseman, J. (2013). Human population density and growth
  validated as extinction threats to mammal and bird species. *Human Ecology*, *41*(5), 773–
  778. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9586-8
- Newbold, T. (2018). Future effects of climate and land-use change on terrestrial vertebrate
   community diversity under different scenarios. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences*,
   285(1881), 20180792. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0792
- Oliver, T. H., & Morecroft, M. D. (2014). Interactions between climate change and land use
   change on biodiversity: attribution problems, risks, and opportunities. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 5(3), 317–335.
   https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.271
- Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M., Elith, J., Graham, C. H., Lehmann, A., Leathwick, J., & Ferrier, S.
  (2009). Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: implications for
  background and pseudo-absence data. *Ecological Applications*, *19*(1), 181–197.
  https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2153.1
- Plumptre, A. J., Nixon, S., Kujirakwinja, D. K., Vieilledent, G., Critchlow, R., Williamson,
  E. A., ... Hall, J. S. (2016). Catastrophic decline of world's largest primate: 80% loss of
  Grauer's Gorilla (*Gorilla beringei graueri*) population justifies Critically Endangered
  status. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(10), e0162697. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162697

# Santini, L., Saura, S., & Rondinini, C. (2016). Connectivity of the global network of protected areas. *Diversity and Distributions*, 22(2), 199–211. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12390

- Scholte, P., Agnangoye, J.-P., Chardonnet, B., Eloma, H.-P., Nchoutpouen, C., & Ngoga, T.
  (2018). A central African perspective on delegated protected area management. *Tropical Conservation Science*, *11*, 194008291879662.
  https://doi.org/10.1177/1040082018796621
- 768 https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082918796621
- Strindberg, S., Maisels, F., Williamson, E. A., Blake, S., Stokes, E. J., Aba'a, R., ... Wilkie,
  D. S. (2018). Guns, germs, and trees determine density and distribution of gorillas and
  chimpanzees in Western Equatorial Africa. *Science Advances*, 4(4).
- 772 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aar2964
- Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y.
  C., ... Williams, S. E. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*, 427(6970),
  145–148.
  https://doi.org/https//www.neture.com/neture/insure/insure/insure/2121
- https://doi.org/http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v427/n6970/suppinfo/nature02121
   \_S1.html
- Thorne, J. H., Seo, C., Basabose, A., Gray, M., Belfiore, N. M., & Hijmans, R. J. (2013).
  Alternative biological assumptions strongly influence models of climate change effects on mountain gorillas. *Ecosphere*, 4(9), art108. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES13-00123.1
- Thuiller, W. (2004). Patterns and uncertainties of species' range shifts under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 10(12), 2020–2027. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652486.2004.00859.x
- Thuiller, W., Georges, D., & Engler, R. (2016). *biomod2: Ensemble platform for species distribution modeling. R Packag. version 3.3-13/r726, https://r-forge.r- project.org/projects/biomod/.*
- Thuiller, W., Guéguen, M., Renaud, J., Karger, D. N., & Zimmermann, N. E. (2019).
  Uncertainty in ensembles of global biodiversity scenarios. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1446. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09519-w
- Thuiller, W., Lafourcade, B., Engler, R., & Araújo, M. B. (2009). BIOMOD a platform for
  ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Ecography*, *32*(3), 369–373.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2008.05742.x
- Tranquilli, S., Abedi-Lartey, M., Abernethy, K., Amsini, F., Asamoah, A., Balangtaa, C., ...
  Sommer, V. (2014). Protected areas in tropical Africa: Assessing threats and
  conservation activities. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(12), e114154.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114154
- Tranquilli, S., Abedi-Lartey, M., Amsini, F., Arranz, L., Asamoah, A., Babafemi, O., ...
  Kuehl, H. (2012). Lack of conservation effort rapidly increases African great ape
  extinction risk. *Conservation Letters*, 5(1), 48–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755263X.2011.00211.x
- Varela, S., Anderson, R. P., García-Valdés, R., & Fernández-González, F. (2014).
  Environmental filters reduce the effects of sampling bias and improve predictions of
  ecological niche models. *Ecography*, *37*(11), 1084–1091. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.16000587.2013.00441.x
- Walsh, P. D., Abernethy, K. A., Bermejo, M., Beyers, R., De Wachter, P., Akou, M. E., ...
  Wilkie, D. S. (2003). Catastrophic ape decline in western equatorial Africa. *Nature*,
  422(6932), 611–614.
- 808 https://doi.org/http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v422/n6932/suppinfo/nature01566

- 809 \_S1.html
- Wessling, E. G., Deschner, T., Mundry, R., Pruetz, J. D., Wittig, R. M., & Kühl, H. S. (2018).
  Seasonal variation in physiology challenges the notion of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) as a forest-adapted species. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*, 60.
- 813 https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00060
- 814 Wich, S. A., Garcia-Ulloa, J., Kuehl, H. S., Humle, T., Lee, J. S. H., & Koh, L. P. (2014).
- 815 Will oil palm's homecoming spell doom for Africa's great apes? *Current Biology*,
- 816 24(14), 1659–1663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.077

817